

Objected Claims

Applicants thank the Examiner for indicating that claims 7 - 9 are objected to as being dependent on rejected base claim 1, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form to include all of the limitations of base claim 1, and if rewritten to overcome the above-described rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112. As described above, Applicants cancel claim 8 without prejudice or disclaimer, amend claim 1 to essentially include the limitations of canceled claim 8, and amend claims 1, 7 and 9 to eliminate the claims terms leading to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112. As claim 1 has been rewritten to essentially incorporate the limitations of allowable claim 8, Applicants respectfully submit that amended claim 1 is in condition for allowance. As amended claims 7 and 9 depend from allowable claim 1, Applicants further submit that dependent claims 7 and 9 are allowable for at least this reason. As dependent claim 8 has been canceled, the objection as to claim 8 is moot. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the objections as to claims 7 - 9 be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102, 103

Claims 1 - 3, 5, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Kouketsu et al. (U.S. 4,607,864). Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kouketsu in view of Collinset al. (U.S. 5,411,292). Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections.

Applicants cancel claims 2, 5, and 6 without prejudice or disclaimer, amend independent claim 1 to essentially incorporate the limitations of allowable claim 8, and amend dependent claims 3, 4, 10 and 11 to be consistent with the amendments made to amended claim 1. As described above, Applicants submit that amended independent claim 1, essentially including the limitations of allowable claim 8, is accordingly allowable. As dependent claims 3, 4, 10 and 11 depend from allowable independent claim 1, Applicants submit that dependent claims 3, 4, 10 and 11 are also allowable for at least this reason.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicants believe the pending application, including independent claim 1 and dependent claims 3, 4, 7 and 9 - 11, is in condition for allowance. If there are any issues remaining which the Examiner believes could be resolved through either a Supplemental Response or an Examiner's Amendment, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number indicated below.

Dated: May 25, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By Thomas J. Bean
Thomas J. Bean

Registration No.: 44,528
DARBY & DARBY P.C.
P.O. Box 5257
New York, New York 10150-5257
(212) 527-7700
(212) 527-7701 (Fax)
Attorneys/Agents For Applicant